UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,139	05/04/2006	05/04/2006 Daniel Martin		2560
⁷⁶⁹⁶⁰ Fay Kaplun & N	7590 03/02/201 Marcin , LLP	EXAMINER		
150 Broadway,	suite 702	RAMANA, ANURADHA		
New York, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
An Ramana 3775 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions or time may be availation under the provisions of 3 CTR1.13019. - If NO period for reply is appelled above, the reason of 3 CTR1.13019. - If NO period for reply is appelled above, the reason of 3 CTR1.13019. - If NO period for reply is appelled above, the reason and substancy period will apply and will apply and so the insure a RAMPOURT (SU LISC. § 133). - Failus to reply which the addres contending ferries for equilibility with systatus, cause the application from ANAPOURT (SU LISC. § 133). - Failus to reply which the addres certainly existed the resulting case of this communication, count fitnedy field, may reduce any series of patent term adjustment. See 37 CTR 1.7019. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 26 May 2009. 2a □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 □ Claim(s) 34-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9 □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are is/are pending in the application. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 11 □ Claim(s) is/are benefit and is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ obj		10/529,139	MARTIN, DANIEL			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lettermost or time may be available under its provides and 3 of ZFR 1.130(a), in a ovent, however, may a reply be limbely liked If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the maling date of this communication of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTH'S from the maling date of this communication of the communi	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Entended of fine ray to be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a regly be finally filled. - Entended of fine ray be southed above, the maximum statutory puried all agely and val engage MS. (5) MONTHS from the maximy date of this communication of the provision of t		Anu Ramana	3775			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(d), in no worth knows, timely any by be finishly flad If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication and the communication and the communication and the communication and the communication of the communication and the communicatio						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 May 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 34-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 34-60 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a ☐ accepted or b ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) (d) or (f). a) ☐ All b ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 34-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 34-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 OFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status					
4) Claim(s) 34-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 34-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 34-60 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>34-60</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[\] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter of claims 36-43, 46-48, 51 and 57-60. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 53, the recitation "at least a portion with a conical shape" seeks to introduce new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 50, the recitation "wherein at least two of the transverse holes at least partially intersect one another" renders the claim vague and indefinite since it is unclear what structure is being claimed by the Applicant. It appears that Applicant is trying to claim that the "hole axes intersect one another."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34-48, 50-51, and 53-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumback et al. (US 6120504) in view of Huebner et al. (US 5472444).

Brumback et al. disclose an intramedullary nail 10 having four transverse holes (22, 22, 23, 23) grouped at the distal end of the nail (Figs. 1, 1a and 8, col. 2, lines 31-67, col. 3, lines 1-11 and col. 6, lines 9-16). Brumback et al. disclose two of the holes to be at an angle of anteversion between 10 degrees and 35 degrees, in applicant's claimed range of between 0 degrees and 90 degrees. Brumback et al. also disclose different anteversion angles for other applications.

Regarding the distance of the holes from the tip of the intramedullary nail, Huebner et al. teach a distal tip portion extending distally beyond a distal hole by a distance of about 20 to 50% of the length of a nail for securement of the nail to a humeral or bone shaft while reducing stress concentrations (col. 3, lines 15-18 and col. 4, lines 30-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extend the tip of the nail beyond hole 22 by about 20 to 50% of the length of the nail of Brumback et al., as taught by Huebner et al., for fixation in a bone shaft with reduction of stress concentrations.

Although the combination of Brumback et al. and Huebner et al. do not relate the distance the tip of the nail extends beyond the axis of hole 22 to the diameter of hole 22, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have optimized the distance the tip of the nail extends beyond hole 22 or the axis of hole 22 by a distance ≤ 25d, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. It is the Examiner's position that Applicant is relating the distance the tip of the nail extends beyond the distal transverse hole to the diameter of the hole rather than the length of the nail.

Regarding claims 36-43, 50 and 51, Brumback et al. also disclose different anteversion angles for other applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected an angle in the claimed ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 53, Huebner et al. disclose holes having a partial conical shape to prevent rotation of screws within the holes.

Therefore, it would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that applying the known technique of providing a hole with a partial conical shape, as taught by Huebner et al., in the Brumback et al. nail would have yielded predictable results, i.e., improved fixation of the nail to the bone shaft.

Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumback et al. (US 6120504) and Huebner et al. (US 5472444) further in view of Frigg et al. (US 5041115).

The combination of Brumback et al. and Huebner et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for at least five distal transverse bores or holes.

Frigg et al. teach varying the number of holes for locking screws based on the proposed use and length of the nail (Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 59-68 and col. 5, lines 1-2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the number of distal transverse holes, as taught by

Frigg et al., in the nail of the combination of Brumback et al. and Huebner et al., depending on the proposed use and length of the nail.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumback et al. (US 6120504) and Huebner et al. (US 5472444) further in view of Perry (US 5766174).

The combination of Brumback et al. and Huebner et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for threaded transverse holes.

It is well known in the art to provide threaded transverse holes for engagement with screws, as evidenced by Perry (Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided threaded transverse holes, as taught by Perry, in the nail of the combination of Brumback et al. and Huebner et al., for positive engagement of the fixation member, namely a screw, with the nail.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Barrett can be reached at (571) 272-4746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/529,139 Page 6

Art Unit: 3775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR February 27, 2010

/Anu Ramana/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3775